



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

Paper No. 11

OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN  
1180 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036-8403

In re Application of )  
Masaharu Ono et al. )  
Application No. 09/745,672 )  
Filed: December, 21, 2000 )  
Attorney Docket No. P/2007-73 )

APR 12 2002

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition, filed February 21, 2001, to withdraw the holding of abandonment of the above-identified application.

The petition is dismissed as moot.


This application was held abandoned for failure to respond in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed May 23, 2001. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on January 15, 2002.

Upon associating with the application an amendment filed January 29, 2002 with a certificate of mailing dated November 21, 2001, the holding of abandonment was withdrawn and a Decision *sua sponte* withdrawing the holding of abandonment was mailed March 8, 2002. Since the holding of abandonment has been withdrawn and the application file restored to its pending status, the petition to withdraw the Notice of Abandonment is now moot.

The application file does not indicate a change of address has been filed in this case, although the address given on a paper filed differs from the address of record. A change of address should be filed in this case in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 601.03.

Petitioner's attention is directed to Section 601.03 of the M.P.E.P. concerning change of correspondence address. The attorney or agent is responsible for promptly notifying the Office of any change of address. The notification should be provided in such a manner as to call attention to the fact that a change of address is being made. The mere inclusion, in a paper being filed for another purpose, of an address which is different from the previously provided correspondence address, without mention of the fact that an address change is being made, would not ordinarily be recognized or deemed as instructions to change the correspondence address on the file records.

The application file is being returned to the technical support staff for processing. From there, the file will be returned to the examiner for further examination.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Hien H. Phan", written over a horizontal line.

Hien H. Phan, Special Program Examiner  
Technology Center 2800  
Semiconductors, Electrical and Optical  
Systems and Components